


Remarks

In his office action, Examiner rejected claims 14-17 and 23-25 under 35 U.S.C. Section 102(b) as being anticipated by Pedder et al. Applicant respectfully disagrees with Examiner's use of Pedder as being an anticipatory reference.

However, to effectuate issuance of the present application, applicant has cancelled claims 14-17 and 23-25. Applicant has not amended allowed claims 14-17 and 23-25. Applicant believes that it has satisfactorily addressed the bases underlying the Examiner's objections and rejections and therefore submits that the present application is in form for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,



Hazim Ansari
40,896